

EVALUATION FORM WCRAPM 2027 –  
FINAL VERSION (WEIGHTED)



Competition: \_\_\_\_\_ Abstract ID: \_\_\_\_\_  
Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Study type (check one):  RCT  Quasi-experimental  Cohort  Case-control  
 Database study  Basic science  Case series  Case report  Systematic  
review with metanalysis  Systematic review  Non-systematic review

**1) STUDY DESIGN**

Score the general quality of the selected study design from 0 (very poor) to  
maximal possible score (excellent)

Design	Score
RCT	____ /20
Metanalysis	____ /16
Database study	____ /16
Quasi-experimental	____ /14
Basic science	____ /12
Prospective cohort	____ /10
Case-control	____ /8
Systematic review	____ /8
Case series	____ /6
Non-systematic Review	____ /4
Case report	____ /2

## 2) METHODOLOGICAL QUALITY AND VALIDITY (0–40)

Score the selected item from 0 (very poor) to 8 (excellent)

Item	Score
Appropriate design for the research question	____ /8
Prospective protocol registration	____ /8
Valid measurement of variables/outcomes	____ /8
Internal validity (risk of bias)	____ /8
External validity (reproducibility / applicability)	____ /8

## 3) NOVELTY AND CLINICAL IMPACT (0–20)

Item	Score
Novelty	____ /10
Clinical relevance	____ /10

## 4) PRESENTATION (0–20)

Item	Score
Clarity and scientific writing	____ /20

**FINAL TOTAL:** \_\_\_\_ /100

**Reviewer comments:**

---

---